SQUIRE 2.0 TABLE FOR QUALITY REPORTS

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Manuscript Section** | **Key Considerations for Authors** | **Reported on Page #** |
| Title | Include the condition and key outcome |  |
| Abstract | Sections should include Background, Methods, Results, and Conclusion. The results should summarize findings in relation to key specific aims |  |
| Introduction | Summarizes problem description, available knowledge, rationale, and specific aims. |  |
| Methods |  |  |
| Context |  |  |
| Intervention |  |  |
| Study of the Intervention |  |  |
| Measures | * Each outcome, process, and balance measure must be described * If costs are included in the measures, the method of cost assessment and evaluation should be clear and rigorous. |  |
| Analysis | * The authors should include description about how any run/control charts were developed and analyzed (eg, rules governing changes in center lines and confidence intervals). * If run charts or statistical process control was not used, the authors should explain why an alternative analytic approach was selected. |  |
| Ethical Considerations | * Authors should review their institution’s guidelines around quality improvement projects. * If the authors did not obtain IRB approval and/or formal IRB exemption after review, they must state how the project described in their submission met criteria for not being reviewed by their institution’s IRB. |  |
| Results | * Describes the actual course of the intervention, including contextual elements. * Results should be described in relation to specific aims and be presented in the same order as in the Introduction and Methods. * Describes the actual course of the intervention, including contextual elements, as well as changes in process and outcomes (including balance measures and any cost assessment). * The results should include a description of and interpretation of any run/control chart findings, specifically whether special cause variation was noted or not. |  |
| Discussion |  |  |
| Summary | Summarize findings in relation to specific aims. |  |
| Interpretation | * Were there specific intervention(s) related to improvement? * How do the findings compare to findings from other publications? * How were the findings compared to what authors expected, and why? |  |
| Limitations |  |  |
| Conclusion |  |  |
| Figures | * Run and/or control charts are helpful for illustrating changes in measures over time. * Run and/or control charts should include annotations that show when interventions were implemented. |  |